IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 10TH APRIL 2007 / 20TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 13825 of 2004(U)

PETITIONER:

KSRTC REP.BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, EAST FORT, TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.PRABHAKARAN, SC, K.S.R.T.C.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,**
   PALAKKAD, REP.BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. **SRI.R.NATARAJAN, S/O. RAMASWAMY**
   GOUNDER, NO.1, TB COMPLEX, PALAKKAD.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. M.K.PUSHPALATHA

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC.NO.13825/2004 U

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DTD. 22/09/2000.**

EXT.P2; **COPY OF THE NOTICE FOR TIMING CONFERENCE DTD. 31/12/03.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE OBJECTION DTD. 6/01/2004.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE PALAKKAD – KANNUR SCHEME DTD. 13/11/1962.**

/TRUE COPY/

KSS

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,J.

WP(C)NO.13825 OF 2004-U

Judgment

The petitioner KSRTC challenges Ext.P1 decision of the RTA, Palakkad, granting a permit to the 2nd respondent on 22.09.2000 on the route Malampuzha-Kozhikode. The Writ Petition is not maintainable for more than one reason. If the petitioner was aggrieved by Ext.P1, it should have challenged the same before the STAT. Further, there is a delay of about four years in challenging Ext.P1 before this Court. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

10.04.2007 **K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,JUDGE**

sta